

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,649	07/02/2003	Joo Sun Yoon	21C-0058	5474
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 09/18/200 LBURN, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		DUONG, THOI V	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Applicant(s)	
10/612,649	YOON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit -
Thoi V. Duong	2871

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -PLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE

THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
time periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS /
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 14-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-13 and 19-23</u> .
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
3. ☐ Other:

Thrown Stray

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. As shown in Figs. 1 and 4, APA teaches an orientation film having an orientation groove rubbed in a first direction 15a from the first boundary (upper side of the second region 14c) to the second boundary (lower side of the second region 14c). However, the second boundary is not an exposed edge of the transparent electrode 14a. Meanwhile, as shown in Figs. 2 and 4, Kubo discloses a similar pixel structure in which the second boundary is an exposed edge of the transparent electrode 21. Kubo in fact describes alignment film with rubbing direction (or orientation groove) to provide a pretilt angle to the liquid crystal molecules (col. 15, lines 15-21 and col. 26, lines 14-22). Accordingly, APA in view of Kubo suggests a structure in which an orientation groove rubbed in a first direction from the first boundary between the first and second regions, towards the second boundary, which is an exposed edge of the transparent electrode of claims 1 and 14. Finally, as shown in Fig. 4 of APA, the orientation groove 15a extends in a direction from the first region 14b (reflective region) to the second region 14c (transmissive region); therefore, the same orientation groove would apply to Fig. 4 of Kubo. That is, the orientation groove would extend from the first boundary between the transmissive region 20 and the reflection region 22 towards the second boundary which is an exposed edge of the transmissive region 20 in Fig. 4 of Kubo. Thus, combining APA and Kubo does not teach away from the claimed invention and hence, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established. In conclusion, the claimed invention is still not in condition for allowance since the claims are not differentiated from prior art.